

New Issue: Moody's assigns Aa3 to Auburn, ME's \$5.7M GO Bonds

Global Credit Research - 16 Oct 2015

Affirms Aa3 on \$45.6M of outstanding GO debt

AUBURN (CITY OF) ME
Cities (including Towns, Villages and Townships)
ME

Moody's Rating

ISSUE	RATING
2015 General Obligation Bonds	Aa3
Sale Amount \$5,700,000	
Expected Sale Date 10/21/15	
Rating Description General Obligation	

Moody's Outlook NOO

NEW YORK, October 16, 2015 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aa3 rating to the City of Auburn's (ME) \$5.7 million 2015 General Obligation Bonds. Concurrently, Moody's has affirmed the Aa3 rating on \$45.6 million of outstanding general obligation debt.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Aa3 rating reflects the city's satisfactory financial position, moderately-sized tax base with average wealth levels, and above-average debt burden.

OUTLOOK

Outlooks are usually not assigned to local government credits with this amount of debt outstanding.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

- Trend of operating surpluses resulting in a material improvement in liquidity and reserves
- Significant tax base growth or material improvement in the demographic profile
- Material decline in the debt burden

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

- Trend of operating deficits resulting in a material decline in reserves
- Significant declines in the tax base or deterioration of the demographic profile
- Material growth in debt burden
- Trend of General Fund transfers to support the ice arena

STRENGTHS

- Sound reserve position supported by a formal fund balance policy and conservative budgeting practices
- Ample property tax levy capacity under LD-1 limit

- Manageable pension and OPEB liabilities
- Rapid amortization of debt

CHALLENGES

- Above average debt burden
- Enterprise risk from ice arena could pressure the General Fund
- Reliance on fund balance appropriations to balance operations

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Recent developments are incorporated in the Detailed Rating Rationale.

DETAILED RATING RATIONALE

ECONOMY AND TAX BASE: MODEST GROWTH EXPECTED FOR MODERATELY SIZED TAX BASE WITH HEALTHY COMMERCIAL PRESENCE

Following multiple years of tax base declines, the city's tax base began to stabilize in fiscal 2015, as equalized value increased 1.9% to \$2 billion. We expect values to increase moderately over the near term given new commercial development. The city maintains an above average degree of taxpayer concentration, as the top ten taxpayers represent 12% of the total assessed value. The largest taxpayer, Tambrands (3.1% of 2015 assessed value), a division of The Procter & Gamble Company (Aa3 stable), recently made sizable capital investments at its Auburn facility and hired 60 new employees. Permits in fiscal 2015 (283 permits with a value of \$30.6 million) represented a healthy increase from the prior year (257 valued at \$19.1 million) due to a new Hobby Lobby that recently opened, expansions of a trucking company, a bank, and a new building on the Central Maine Community College campus. Additional new development includes 10 to 20 single-family homes and the repurposing of an old shoe mill to a medical recuperation facility for Chinese tourists. The city's median family income is on par with the state (102%) and nation (95%), and full value per capita is \$85,168. Unemployment remains slightly below the state and nation.

FINANCIAL OPERATIONS AND RESERVES: FINANCIAL POSITION REMAINS SATISFACTORY DESPITE ANNUAL RESERVE APPROPRIATIONS; ENTERPRISE RISK FROM ICE ARENA

Auburn's financial position will remain adequate given management's conservative budgeting practices and commitment to maintain reserves in compliance with its formal fund balance policy. In addition, the city has additional financial flexibility provided by significant property tax levy capacity. This flexibility mitigates a growing concern about the city's ability to reduce fund balance appropriations and return to a more structurally balanced operating position. Fiscal 2014 audited results reflect a slight \$317,000 decline in fund balance, and available reserves (unassigned plus assigned fund balance) declined to \$9.5 million, or 12.7% of revenues. The city has a formal policy to maintain available reserves at a minimum of 12.5% of school and municipal expenditures, and the city has generally maintained reserves in excess of that policy. Total General Fund balance was \$12.1 million or 16.2% of revenues.

The fiscal 2015 budget was balanced with a \$2.1 million fund balance appropriation, and positive operating results will allow approximately half to be replenished. Revenues in fiscal 2015 were \$170,000 over budget mostly due to favorable excise taxes, and total expenditures were under budget by \$750,000, partially attributable to a budgeted \$375,000 contingency that was not used. Total fund balance will decline to approximately \$10.8 million (roughly 14.6% of revenues), though available fund balance will be fairly flat due to less restricted items, and will remain in compliance with the policy. The fiscal 2016 budget (city and education) increased 1.9% mostly due to education, and was balanced with a \$1.7 million fund balance appropriation (\$400,000 less than the previous year) and a 1.4% tax levy increase. Notably, the city's overall financial flexibility is enhanced by a significant \$8.2 million (as of fiscal 2016) of accumulated excess taxing capacity under the provisions of LD-1, representing the amount of levy growth available for future budgets.

The city's exposure to enterprise risk could pressure its General Fund if usage fee revenues are insufficient to support operations. The city leased a new ice area that was constructed by a private developer, and the city entered into a lease agreement to reimburse the developer for construction costs totaling \$8.2 million. The monthly payments of \$42,000 (\$504,000 annually) began in July 2015. The city has committed to subsidize the arena's operations with General Fund revenues if usage fees are insufficient to pay all operating costs and payments to

the developer. Management reports that the arena ran a \$311,000 operating deficit in fiscal 2015. Transfers from the General Fund were not needed in fiscal 2015, but the deficit will decrease the total net position in this fund to approximately \$37,000. The arena's total operating costs for were budgeted at \$1.2 million in fiscal 2016, which represents approximately 1.5% of annual General Fund revenues. We will continue to monitor any pressure the ice area imposes on the city's General Fund, and annual subsidies could pressure the rating.

Liquidity

The fiscal 2014 year-end General Fund cash position was \$5.3 million, or 7.1% of revenues. This represents a decrease from fiscal 2013 year-end, when cash was \$11.3 million (15.6%). The difference is due to an \$8.1 million interfund receivable from the Capital Projects Fund, which was paid at the beginning of fiscal 2015.

DEBT AND PENSIONS: CITY WILL REMAIN HIGHLY LEVERAGED

Auburn's debt position will remain above average yet manageable given future borrowing plans and rapid principal amortization. Including the current issue, the city's debt position is an above average 3.1% of equalized value. The city has no overlapping debt. The city expects to borrow \$22 million over the next four years to partially support the Capital Improvement Plan. Additionally, the city is considering constructing a new high school, although approval of this project will depend on state support. The project is currently third on the state's priority list, and should the state approve subsidies, pursuant to the city's charter, the project would be subject to referendum approval.

Debt Structure

All debt is fixed rate and amortization of principal is rapid, with 96.4% repaid within ten years. Debt service accounted for an above average 8.5% of expenditures in fiscal 2014.

Debt-Related Derivatives

Auburn has no derivatives.

Pensions and OPEB

The city issued pension obligation bonds in 2003, which were subsequently refunded in 2012, to fully fund its initial unfunded actuarial liability to the former Maine State Retirement System (MSRS). For some retirees, the city participates in the Maine State Public Employee Retirement Systems (successor to MSRS), a multi-employer, defined benefit retirement plan sponsored by the State of Maine (Aa2 stable). The city funds its annual required contribution (ARC), which was \$714,403 in fiscal 2014, or 1.0% of General Fund expenditures. In addition, the city maintains a single employer defined benefit plan for police and fire employees who joined prior to the city's participation in the state plan. The city contributed \$149,845 towards this plan in fiscal 2014, and the reported unfunded liability is less than \$1 million for 13 retirees and beneficiaries. The combined adjusted net pension liability, under Moody's methodology for adjusting reported pension data, is \$16.9 million, or a low 0.2 times operating revenues. Moody's uses the adjusted net pension liability to improve comparability of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the city's reported liability information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities.

The city's teachers participate in the Maine Public Employees Retirement System's Teacher Plan, which is administered at the state level and therefore the city is not responsible for the plan's unfunded liability. Beginning in fiscal 2014, the district is responsible for 50% of the normal cost of the teachers' plan and makes annual contributions to the state. The city contributed \$491,000 and \$475,000 in fiscal 2014 and 2015, respectively, budgeted to contribute \$598,000 in fiscal 2016. These contributions represent less than 1% of annual expenditures and are not expected to pressure the city's budgets over the near term.

The OPEB liability is modest at \$3.5 million and is limited to the value of its implicit rate subsidy to retirees that buy into the health insurance benefit plan offered to active employees. Total fixed costs for fiscal 2014, including debt service, required pension contributions and social security payments, represented \$7.7 million, or a manageable 10.3% of expenditures.

MANAGEMENT AND GOVERNANCE

Maine cities and towns have an institutional framework score of "Aa", or strong. Revenues are generally predictable as cities and towns rely mostly on property tax revenue to fund operations. Property taxes are subject to the state property tax cap known as LD 1, but cities and towns have the ability to exceed the cap by a majority

vote of the legislative body or voter approval. Expenditures are stable and predictable and can be cut as needed.

KEY STATISTICS

Fiscal 2015 Equalized Valuation: \$2 billion

Fiscal 2015 Equalized Valuation per Capita: \$85,168

Median Family Income as % of U.S.: 94.9%

Fiscal 2014 Available General Fund Balance as % of Revenues: 12.7%

5-Year Dollar Change in Available General Fund Balance as % of Revenues: -1.7%

Fiscal 2014 Cash Balance as % of Revenues: 7.1%

5-Year Dollar Change in Cash Balance as % of Revenues: -27.4%

Institutional Framework: Aa

5-Year Average Operating Revenues / Operating Expenditures: 1.0x

Net Direct Debt as % of Full Value: 3.1%

Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues: 0.8x

3-Year Average ANPL as % of Full Value: 0.7%

3-Year Average ANPL / Operating Revenues: 0.2x

OBLIGOR PROFILE

Auburn has a population of 23,000 and is located in southern Maine (Aa2 stable), approximately 34 miles north of Portland (Aa1 stable) and 35 miles southwest of Augusta, the state capital.

LEGAL SECURITY

The majority of the bonds (\$4.7 million) are secured by the city's general obligation limited tax pledge as debt service is subject to the state's property tax limitation known as LD-1. The remaining \$1 million is secured by an unlimited general obligation tax pledge, as debt service is expected from LD-1 since proceeds are for school purposes.

USE OF PROCEEDS

Bond proceeds will finance various capital projects for the city and the school department.

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in January 2014. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Analysts

Heather Guss
Lead Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Nicholas Lehman
Additional Contact
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
USA



© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL

INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody's.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit

rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY'S Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY'S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.